Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 407 (1991) 1–15 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 21576

Polar trifluoromethylation reactions: syntheses of trifluoromethyl gallium, indium, and thallium compounds. The mechanism of polar trifluoromethyl group transfer *

Dieter Naumann *, Werner Strauß¹ and Wieland Tyrra

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität zu Köln, Greinstr. 6, W-5000 Köln 41 (Germany)

(Received June 28th, 1990)

Abstract

The reactions of Cd(CF₃)₂ complexes with GaCl₃, InCl₃, and TlX₃ (X: Cl, OCOCH₃, OCOCF₃) in aprotic basic solvents have given the compounds Ga(CF₃)₃·dmf, Ga(CF₃)₂Cl·dmf, [Cd(CH₃CN)₂]-[Ga(CF₃)₄]₂, In(CF₃)₃·2CH₃CN, In(CF₃)₂Cl·dmf, and Tl(CF₃)₃·2dmf, which have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, vibrational spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. All trifluoromethylmetal halides formed as intermediates were detected by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The dependence of the chemical shifts and the coupling constants ²J(^{203/205}Tl-¹⁹F) on the number of CF₃-groups bound to the central atom provides unambiguous evidence for the formular of trifluoromethyl gallates and thallates and the existence of the [Ga(CF₃)₄]⁻-anion has been confirmed by ⁷¹Ga NMR spectroscopy.

A mechanism for successful polar trifluoromethyl group transfer is discussed on the basis of Pearson's HSAB concept and the results of conductivity measurements.

Introduction

Pentafluorophenyl derivatives of Main Group III elements are well known, but there are only a few reports of trifluoromethyl compounds. The C₆F₅-derivatives can be synthesized readily by the "classical" halide aryl exchange reactions involving lithium, magnesium, or mercury compounds [2]. Although trifluoromethylboron compounds are well-established [3] there has until now been only weak evidence for the formation of CF₃-derivatives of aluminium and gallium [4,5]. However, trifluoromethyl-indium and -thallium derivatives were prepared in low yields by Lagow and co-workers from the reactions of CF₃-radicals with the corresponding metal vapour [5,6] and Morrison and Nair [7] reported the syntheses of C₆H₅Tl(CF₃)₂ and Tl(CF₃)₂OCOCH₃.

^{*} This paper is based on parts of the dissertations of W. Strauß, Universität Dortmund, 1986 (Tl(CF3)-compounds) and W. Tyrra, Universität Dortmund, 1989 (Ga(CF3)- and In(CF3)- compounds).

¹ Present address: Schering A.G., Bergkamen, Germany.

Results and discussion

Mechanism

Bis(trifluoromethyl)cadmium complexes have been shown to be effective Grignard reagent analogues [8]. Successful halide-trifluoromethyl exchange reactions involving these compounds have given some new or only difficultly available trifluoromethyl element compounds. The results of our studies on polar halide trifluoromethyl group exchange reactions enable us to propose an improved mechanism [9] for the synthesis of CF_3 -element compounds.

An explanation for the features of these reactions can be given in terms of Pearson's HSAB concept [10]. In a polar trifluoromethyl group transfer the CF_3 -group must be considered to be a softer base than a halide ion. Stable compounds are formed if the "soft" base is allowed to react with a "soft" acid. Solvent influences are thus significant; solvent molecules reversibly coordinated to cationic centers lower the hardness of the cation, and the formation of a stable σ -bond between the CF_3 -group and the cationic center is favoured.

We assume the following reaction sequence:

$$Cd(CF_3)_2 \rightleftharpoons \left[Cd(CF_3)\right]^+ + \langle CF_3^- \rangle$$
("soft" base)

$$\mathbf{MX}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{X}^{-} + \left[\mathbf{MX}_{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{D}\right]^{+}$$
("soft" acid)

$$[\mathsf{MX}_{n-1} \cdot \mathsf{D}]^+ + \langle \mathsf{CF}_3^- \rangle \rightleftharpoons \mathsf{MX}_{n-1}(\mathsf{CF}_3) + \mathsf{D}$$

(M: e.g. metal atom, X: e.g. halide; D: solvent with donor properties; donor molecules complexed with $Cd(CF_3)_2$ are omitted)

The dissociation of $Cd(CF_3)$ -complexes in solvents with donor properties was indicated by conductivity measurements of the corresponding $Cd(n-C_6F_{13})$ - and $Cd(n-C_8F_{17})$ -complexes [11]. The molar conductivity of these complexes in dmf is comparable to that of KCl in water at 25°C. The most important properties a suitable solvent should possess are (i) inertness towards the trifluoromethyl cadmium compounds, (ii) a high dielectric constant to induce dissociation of the starting materials, usually halides or trifluoroacetates, and (iii) donor properties to reduce the hardness of the cationic Lewis-acid.

Finally conditions have to be adopted that allow rapid combination of the acid with the base $\langle CF_3^- \rangle$ to form a stable σ -bond. Otherwise a decomposition of the CF₃ group is favoured, yielding diffuorocarbene and fluoride ion.

Applying this mechanistic concept in our investigations on Main Group III compounds we found that acetonitrile is a particularly suitable solvent for polar trifluoromethylation reactions with gallium and indium trihalides, whereas dmf is suitable for reactions with thallium salts.

Conductivity measurements were carried out in order to check which system is the most suitable. Table 1 lists the conductivity data for $InCl_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 , CH_3CN , and dmf and reveals that solvated $InCl_3$ is dissociated. Thus the required soft cationic intermediate for polar trifluoromethylation is formed.

Solvent	$\Lambda \; (\Omega^{-1} \; \mathrm{cm}^2 \; \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	$c \pmod{\mathrm{cm}^{-3}}$	
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.074	3.03 · 10 ⁻³	•
dmf	1.475	3.93·10 ⁻⁶	
CH ₃ CN	49.967	1.98 · 10 ⁻⁶	
$CH_2Cl_2 + dmf$	127.178	$1.81 \cdot 10^{-8}$	

Table 1 Molar conductivity of InCl₃ in various solvents at 24°C

The reactions with gallium trichloride

Reactions of $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot D$ and $GaCl_3$ in a molar ratio of 3:2 in solvents such as dmf or CH_3CN do not proceed very selectively. The trifluoromethyl gallium compounds $Ga(CF_3)_3$, $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl$, and $Ga(CF_3)Cl_2$ can be detected by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. A typical ¹⁹F NMR spectrum is given in Fig. 1. The singlets at δ -50.95 ppm, δ -53.72 ppm, and δ -56.14 ppm can be assigned to $Ga(CF_3)_3 \cdot dmf$, $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$, and $Ga(CF_3)Cl_2 \cdot dmf$, respectively. The multiplet at δ -47.77 ppm is to be assigned to $[Ga(CF_3)_4]^-$. If, as we assume, the most probable spherical arrangement of the gallate is tetrahedral, then the quadrupole relaxation effect is lowered. Thus the spin-spin-interaction of the ¹⁹F nuclei with the ⁶⁹Ga and ⁷¹Ga nuclei should split the resonance into two four-line multiplets in accord with the gallium nuclear spins of three-halves.

The ${}^{2}J({}^{69/71}Ga-{}^{19}F)$ couplings in the ${}^{19}F$ NMR spectrum are 150.2 and 189.0 Hz, respectively. The presence of the $[Ga(CF_3)_4]^-$ is confirmed by the ${}^{71}Ga$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 2), which shows 9 lines of the tridecet centered at $\delta + 170.90$ ppm upfield from $[Ga(H_2O)_6]^+$ with a ${}^{2}J({}^{71}Ga-{}^{19}F)$ coupling of 189 Hz. The intensity ratio of the inner lines indicates a tridecet due to Pascal's triangle.

Increase in the molar ratio of GaCl₃ to Cd(CF₃)₂·2CH₃CN in CH₃CN to 1:5 leads to formation of $[Ga(CF_3)_4]^-$ as the only gallium-containing product. This compound is a further example of fully trifluoromethylated metallates like $[Cd(CF_3)_4]^{2-}$ [12] and $[Ag(CF_3)_4]^-$ [13].



Fig. 1. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the trifluoromethyl gallium compounds formed during the reaction of GaCl₃ with Cd(CF₃)₂·glyme in dmf.





The assignment of the Ga(CF₃)₃-resonance is unambiguous. The singlet at $\delta - 50.95$ ppm is surrounded by ¹³C satellites split into septets (¹J(¹⁹F-¹³C) 341.9 Hz, ⁴J(¹⁹F-¹⁹F) 4.1 Hz, ¹ Δ (¹⁹F-^{12/13}C) 0.1400 ppm).

The careful examination of the ¹³C satellites of trifluoromethyl element compounds allows unambiguous determination of the number of CF₃-groups bound to a central atom [14]. The ¹³C satellites must be assigned to the ¹³CF₃-group of $Ga(^{12}CF_3)_2(^{13}CF_3) \cdot dmf$. The resonance of the corresponding ¹²CF₃-groups is superposed on the signal of $Ga(^{12}CF_3)_3 \cdot dmf$. The splitting of the satellites into septets results from the spin-spin-interaction of the fluorine atoms of the ¹³CF₃-group with six fluorine atoms of the two ¹²CF₃-groups. The assignment of the signals at δ - 53.72 ppm and δ - 56.14 ppm can be made by analogy with previous results. Partly-halogenated trifluoromethyl element compounds generally show a significant upfield shift compared with fully trifluoromethylated compounds (Table 2).

Gallium trichloride is soluble in many chlorohydrocarbons. With methyl chloride 1:1 and 1:2 adducts are formed, and are stable up to 10° C [18]. No dissociation into cationic and anionic gallium derivatives is observed. In contrast ether, nitrile, and amine adducts dissociate according to the following equation [19]:

$2 \operatorname{GaCl}_3 \cdot \mathbf{D} \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{GaCl}_2 \cdot \mathbf{D}_2 \right]^+ + \left[\operatorname{GaCl}_4 \right]^-$

Because many by-products were formed during the reactions in polar solvents, especially CH₃CN, we used CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent and dmf as the complexing agent. The reaction in a molar ratio GaCl₃/Cd(CF₃)₂ of 1:1 yielded Ga(CF₃)₂Cl · dmf (δ (¹⁹F) - 52.60 ppm) as the main product; an approximately three-fold excess of Cd(CF₃)₂ · 2CH₃CN favoured the formation of Ga(CF₃)₃ · dmf (δ (¹⁹F) - 50.95 ppm). Both compounds were isolated, and identified from their NMR and mass spectra and elemental analysis.

Table 2

Changes in the ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts of trifluoromethyl derivatives of Main Group elements, $E(CF_3)_{3-n}X_n$ and $E(CF_3)_{4-m}X_m$ (X: Cl, Br; n: 0-2; m: 0-3), upon variation of the number of CF₃ groups on the central atom

E	x	m, n = 0 $\delta (\text{ppm})$	m, n=1 δ (ppm)	m, n = 2 δ (ppm)	m = 3 δ (ppm)	Ref.
Ga	CI	- 50.95	- 53 77	- 56 14	• (PP)	
P	Cl	- 50.7	-63.3	- 72.8		[15]
Sb	Cl, Br	-41.2	- 52.3	- 60.4		[9]
Bi	Cl	- 33.4	- 37.8	- 40.2		[8]
Ge	Br	- 50.9	- 55.4	- 59.4	-65.2	[16]
Sn	Br	- 39.7	- 42.2	-43.8	-47.7	[16,17]

It is well-known that organogallium derivatives usually prefer a 1:1 coordination with N, O, and P donors [2]. In contrast to the extremely moisture- and air-sensitive triorganogallium derivatives, the mono- and di-organo compounds are not hydrolysable and are resistant to oxidation reactions [20]. Redistribution equilibria between triorganogallium compounds and trihalides lead to formation of diorganogallium monohalides, e.g. [21]:

$$2 \operatorname{Ga}(\operatorname{CH}_3)_3 + \operatorname{GaCl}_3 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Et}_2 O} 3 \operatorname{Ga}(\operatorname{CH}_3)_2 \operatorname{Cl} \cdot \operatorname{OEt}_2$$

We assume that $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl$ and $Ga(CF_3)_3$ are formed as 1:1 adducts with dmf because the gallium-containing fragments in the mass spectra are consistent with such adducts.

It is noteworthy that $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$ is soluble in water as well as in toluene with significant changes in the ¹⁹F NMR chemical shift (by ca. 1.5 ppm) and coupling constant ${}^{1}J({}^{19}F-{}^{13}C)$ (by 2.5 Hz). That indicates the presence of two different species in these solutions. After removal of the solvent $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$ can be recovered unchanged. This behaviour indicates that the gallium compound may be reversibly complexed with H₂O:

$$\operatorname{Ga}(\operatorname{CF}_3)_2\operatorname{Cl}\cdot\operatorname{dmf} \xrightarrow[]{+\operatorname{H}_2O}_{-\operatorname{H}_2O} \left[\operatorname{Ga}(\operatorname{CF}_3)_2\cdot\operatorname{dmf}\cdot\operatorname{H}_2O\right]^+ + \operatorname{Cl}^-$$

whereas in toluene the complex is molecularly solvated.

The reactions with indium trichloride

In polar solvents trifluoromethyl cadmium compounds react with indium trichloride primarily by trifluoromethyl-chlorine exchange.

$$\operatorname{Cd}(\operatorname{CF}_3)_2 + \operatorname{InCl}_3 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \operatorname{In}(\operatorname{CF}_3)_{3-x} \operatorname{Cl}_x \cdot \mathrm{D} + \operatorname{CdCl}_2$$

(x: 0-2; D: dmso, thf, CH₃CN, dmf, pyridine; complexation equilibria of Cd(CF₃)₂ \cdot 2D are generally neglected)

 $In(CF_3)_3 \cdot D$, $In(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot D$ and $In(CF_3)Cl_2 \cdot D$ are identified from their ¹⁹F NMR spectra. In all the spectra the $In(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot D$ resonance gives the most intense signal.

The ¹⁹F NMR data for trifluoromethyl indium compounds are listed in Table 3.

x	D (δ (ppm))				
	dmso	thf	CH ₃ CN	dmf	pyridine
0	-41.63	- 40.65 *	- 44.46	- 44.90	- 39.06
1	- 44.78	- 4 2.75 °	- 45.55	- 46.15	- 40.25 ^d
2	- 46.49	-43.40	- 46.71	- 47.25	- 41.41

¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data ^a for $In(CF_3)_{3-x}Cl_x \cdot D$ (x: 0-2) in several solvents

^a cp. ln(CF₃)₃ (CD₂Cl₂) δ -42.5 ppm, ln(CF₃)₃·PMe₃ δ -41.7 ppm [6]. ^b ¹J(¹⁹F-¹³C) 360.1 Hz, ¹Δ(¹⁹F-^{12/13}C) 0.1333 ppm. ^c ¹J(¹⁹F-¹³C) 360.0 Hz. ^d ¹J(¹⁹F-¹³C) 367.1 Hz, ¹Δ(¹⁹F-^{12/13}C) 0.1369 ppm.

The chemical shifts for the trifluoromethyl indium compounds depend strongly on the solvent. This shows that the indium compounds form solvent adducts, but gives no indication of whether 1:1 adducts or 1:2 adducts are formed. It is known that indium trihalides [22] and pentafluorophenyl indium derivatives [23] form stable 1:2 adducts with donor solvents; if we regard perfluorinated organic groups as pseudohalides we can assume that the CF₃-compounds can also in principle form 1:2 adducts, but 1:1 adducts are the usual complexes in the case of organoindium derivatives [2].

In solvents such as acetonitrile, dmf, or pyridine the exchange reactions proceed without the formation of any fluorinated by-products.

In the case of reactions in acetonitrile or dichloromethane-dmf mixtures we were able to obtain $In(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2CH_3CN$ and $In(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$ selectively by varying the stoichiometry of the starting materials. Both compounds are stable white to yellow solids that decompose at ca. 80 °C. The reaction in CH₃CN in the presence of PPh₃ provides evidence for the formation of a difluoromethyl phosphonium salt [24]. The reaction between InCl₃ and Cd(CF₃)₂ · 2CH₃CN in dmso initially proceeds straightforwardly; a subsequent Cl-CF₃-exchange at the indium atom and a CF₃-Cl-exchange at the cadmium atom can be detected by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The changes in the values of the ²J(^{111/113}Cd-¹⁹F) coupling constants indicate complexation of the cadmium compounds with dmso.

$$Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN + 2(CH_3)_2SO \rightarrow Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2(CH_3)_2SO + 2CH_3CN$$
$$Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2(CH_3)_2SO + InCl_3$$
$$\rightarrow Cd(CF_3)Cl \cdot 2(CH_3)_2SO + CdCl_2 \cdot 2(CH_3)_2SO + In(CF_3)_{3-x}Cl_x \cdot (CH_3)_2SO$$

(x: 0-2)

Figure 3 shows the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the reaction mixture recorded after 1 day (a), 7 days (b), and 21 days (c). It can be seen that a prolongation of the reaction leads to formation of further trifluoromethyl indium compounds, and this is accompanied by formation of $(CF_3)_2SO$. The outcome of the reaction pathway implies that $In(CF_3)$ -compounds undergo CH_3-CF_3 -exchange reactions with the sulfoxide:

$$In(CF_3)_{3-x}Cl_x + (CH_3)_2SO \rightarrow In(CF_3)_{3-x-y}(CH_3)_yCl_x + (CF_3)_2SO$$

(x + y ≤ 3, x: 0-2, y: 1-3)

As expected, seven In(CF₃)-compounds can be detected in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra.

Table 3



Fig. 3. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of a reaction mixture of $InCl_3$ and $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN$ in dmso, (a) after 1 day; (b) after 7 days; (c) after 21 days.

The reactions with thallium trichloride, triacetate, and tris(trifluoroacetate)

Bis(trifluoromethyl)cadmium complexes react in basic complexing solvents such as CH₃CN, dmf, and pyridine with TIX_3 (X: Cl, OCOCH₃, OCOCF₃) to form the corresponding tris(trifluoromethyl)thallium complexes:

 $2\text{TlX}_3 + 3\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \xrightarrow{D} 2\text{Tl}(\text{CF}_3)_3 \cdot 2\text{D} + 3\text{CdX}_2$ (D: pyridine, dmf, dmso, CH₃CN)

From these reactions $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf$, $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso$, and $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2py$ have been isolated as stable products and fully characterized. $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf$ was isolated from the reaction in dmf. The dmso and pyridine adducts are formed in separate complexation reactions from $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf$ (Table 4).

The ¹⁹F NMR spectra (Fig. 4) show a typical pattern for thallium compounds. The resonance of $Tl(CF_3)_3$ is split into two doublets due to ${}^2J({}^{203}Tl-{}^{19}F)$ and ${}^2J({}^{205}Tl-{}^{19}F)$ couplings. An unambiguous assignment of the resonances can be made by analysis of the splitting of the ¹³C satellites. The septets of the ¹³C satellites indicate that the thallium atom is surrounded by three magnetically-equivalent

	δ(¹⁹ F) (ppm)	$^{2}J(^{203/205}\text{Tl}^{-19}\text{F})$ (Hz)	
Tl(CF ₁) ₃ ·2dmf	- 36.8	3010/3040	
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2py	- 35.16	2940/2970	
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmso	- 36.05	2978/3006	

Table 4 ¹⁹F NMR data for the tris(trifluoromethyl)thallium complexes

CF₃-groups (Fig. 4). The ¹³C NMR spectrum shows two doublets $({}^{1}J({}^{203/205}Tl-{}^{13}C))$ of quartets $({}^{1}J({}^{19}F-{}^{13}C))$ of septets $({}^{3}J({}^{19}F-{}^{13}C))$. The coupling constants are 7802, 382, and 13 Hz, respectively (Table 5).

In order to study the acceptor properties of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2D$ we made several attempts to obtain trifluoromethyl thallates. Although a large number of pentafluorophenylhalogeno thallates are known [25], we did not find any evidence for formation of trifluoromethylhalogeno thallates from reactions with cesium halides. However, the reaction of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2D$ with $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot glyme$ in dmf at 45°C did give several trifluoromethyl thallates. Similar products are also formed upon treatment of $TlCl_3$ with a large excess of $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot D$. Comparison of the hetero atom-fluorine coupling constants of the trifluoromethyl thallium, cadmium, and silver compounds as well as the thallium-hydrogen coupling constants of methyl thallium compounds shows that in all cases the absolute values of the hetero atom-fluorine or -hydrogen coupling constants fall with increase in the number of CF_3 - or CH_3 -groups bound to the central atoms (Table 6). If this effect is general for trifluoromethyl metallates we can assume that $[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$, $[Tl(CF_3)_5]^{2-}$, and $[Tl(CF_3)_6]^{3-}$ may have been formed during this reaction. The results do not give any indication of the coordination number of the thallium compounds. Although



Fig. 4. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of Tl(CF₃)₃·2dmso.

Table 5 ¹³C NMR data for trifluoromethyl element compounds of the 6th period

	Solvent	δ (CF ₃) (ppm)	$^{1}J(^{19}F-^{13}C)$ (Hz)	$^{3}J(^{19}F^{-13}C)$ (Hz)	$^{1}J(^{x}E-^{13}C)$ (Hz)
TI(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmso ^a	CD ₃ CN	168.27	382	13	²⁰⁵ T1: 7802
$Hg(CF_3)_2$	thf	160.00	355	16	¹⁹⁹ Hg: 2866
$Bi(CF_3)_3^{b}$	neat	189.80	391	c	

^a δ (dmso) + 40.15 ppm. ^b Ref. 8. ^c Not resolved.

the usual coordination number of thallium is five, four- and six-coordinated species are known, and so it is possible that e.g., $[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$ is coordinated by one or two solvent molecules.

The formation of the thallates seems to be temperature dependent. At room temperature $[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$ is mainly formed. Raising the temperature to 45-60 °C leads to formation of $[Tl(CF_3)_5]^{2-}$ and $[Tl(CF_3)_6]^{3-}$. Cooling to room temperature leads to the formation of $[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$.

$$Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot glyme + Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso \xrightarrow{r.t.} [Tl(CF_3)_4]^+ + Cd(CF_3)^+ + glyme$$

+ 2dmso

$$11[TI(CF_3)_4]^{-} \xrightarrow[r.t.]{45-60°C} 4[TI(CF_3)_5]^{2-} + 4[TI(CF_3)_6]^{3-} + 3TI^{3+}$$

Although none of the thallates was isolated the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the thallium compounds make it possible to define the behaviour of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2D$ in the reactions. A critical comparison of the ¹⁹F NMR data, especially the coupling constants, shows that identification of new compounds solely on the bases of NMR data is not limited to Cd(CF₃)- and Ag(CF₃)-compounds.

Table 6

Dependence of the coupling constants for methyl [26] and trifluoromethyl element compounds [12] on the number of methyl or trifluoromethyl groups bound to the central atom

$^{2}J(^{205}\text{Tl}-^{1}\text{H})(\text{Hz})$	solvent		$^{2}J(^{205}\Pi - ^{19}F)$ (Hz)
911	CH ₃ CN	TI(CF ₃)Cl ₂	5068
412	CH ₃ CN	$Tl(CF_3)_2Cl$	3358
266	glyme	Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmso	3006
221	glyme	$[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$	2072
		"[Tl(CF ₃) ₅] ²⁻ "	1880
		"[Tl(CF ₃) ₆] ³⁻ "	1420
$^{2}J(^{113}\text{Cd}-^{19}\text{F})$ (Hz	z)		$^{2}J(^{109}Ag-^{19}F)$ (Hz)
559	AgC	F ₃ ·glyme	129
501		5 - 1	
469	[Ag($[F_{3}]_{2}]^{-}$	101
416			
281	Ag(C	(F ₃) ₃ ·CH ₃ CN ^a	91.6
	[Ag($[CF_3]_3Cl]^{-a}$	72.4
199	[Ag(CF ₃) ₄] ⁻	40.7
	${}^{2}J({}^{205}TI-{}^{1}H) (Hz)$ 911 412 266 221 ${}^{2}J({}^{113}Cd-{}^{19}F) (Hz)$ 559 501 469 416 281 199	$\begin{array}{c cccc} {}^{2}J({}^{205}\text{TI}_{-}{}^{1}\text{H}) (\text{Hz}) & \text{solvent} \\ \hline 911 & \text{CH}_{3}\text{CN} \\ 412 & \text{CH}_{3}\text{CN} \\ 266 & \text{glyme} \\ 221 & \text{glyme} \\ \hline \\ {}^{2}J({}^{113}\text{Cd}_{-}{}^{19}\text{F}) (\text{Hz}) \\ \hline \\ 559 & \text{AgCl} \\ 501 \\ 469 & [\text{AgCl} \\ 416 \\ 281 & \text{Ag(Cl} \\ [\text{Ag(Cl} \\ 199 & [\text{Ag(Cl} $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

^a trans-Couplings.

Experimental

NMR spectra. Bruker FT NMR spectrometers AM 300 and WM 300; ¹⁹F NMR: 282.4 MHz, ¹³C NMR: 75.6 MHz, ⁷¹Ga NMR: 91.5 MHz; Standards CCl₃F (ext., ¹⁹F), TMS (ext., ¹³C); 1 *M* Ga(NO₃)₃/D₂O(ext., ⁷¹Ga).

Vibrational spectra. IR spectra: Perkin-Elmer spectrograph PE 580 B; Raman spectra: Coderg Model PHO, and exciter Spectra Physics (Kr Laser, λ_0 488 nm).

Mass spectra. MAT Finnigan 8230 and Varian MAT CH5.

Molecular weight determinations. Knauer vapour pressure osmometer.

Elemental analysis. C, H, N: Heraeus Typ CHN Micro; Cd, Ga, In: acc. to ref. 28*. Cl: acc to ref. 27.

Preparations. All reactions were carried out under dry nitrogen. Solvents and all other compounds were purified by standard methods. $Cd(CF_3)_2$ complexes were prepared as previously described [29].

General procedure for the preparation of trifluoromethyl Main Group III compounds

The metal chloride was dissolved or suspended in the appropriate solvent at room temperature or below. The complexing ligand and the $Cd(CF_3)_2$ complex were added with vigorous stirring. The completion of the reaction was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. In reactions of $Cd(CF_3)_2$ -ether complexes with GaCl₃ or InCl₃, difluoromethylethers and $CClF_2H$ were formed as by-products and identified from their NMR spectra [30]. The trifluoromethyl compounds were purified by repeated extraction with CH_2Cl_2 (Ga, In) or recrystallization from diethyl ether (Tl). Experimental details for the exchange reactions are given in Table 7, analytical data in Tables 8 and 9.

Mass spectra of $Ga(CF_3)$ -compounds (only ⁶⁹Ga containing fragments)

 $Ga(CF_3)_3 \cdot dmf$ (70 eV, 20 °C, m/e): 330 ([$Ga(CF_3)_2(CF_2) \cdot dmf$]⁺, 0.3%); 280 ([$Ga(CF_3)_2 \cdot dmf$]⁺, 2.6%); 230 ([$Ga(CF_3)F \cdot dmf$]⁺, 4.3%); 180 ([$GaF_2 \cdot dmf$]⁺, 5.5%).

Ga(CF₃)₃ · dmf (20 eV, 60 °C, m/e): 299 ([Ga(CF₃)₂F · dmf]⁺, 1.0%); 280 ([Ga(CF₃)₂ · dmf]⁺, 4.3%); 230 ([Ga(CF₃)F · dmf]⁺, 15.0%); 180 ([GaF₂ · dmf]⁺, 3.6%).

 $Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$ (70 eV, 20 °C, m/e): 280 ([$Ga(CF_3)_2 \cdot dmf$]⁺, 9.9%); 230 ([$Ga(CF_3)F \cdot dmf$]⁺, 18.4%); 180 ([$GaF_2 \cdot dmf$]⁺, 22.1%); 69 (⁶Ga⁺ and CF_3 ⁺, 5.5%).

To decide whether the peak at 69 m/e comes from $[{}^{69}\text{Ga}]^+$ or $[\text{CF}_3]^+$ the spectrum was enlarged. Two peaks were now detectable, at 69.01 m/e, 2.01% and 68.92 m/e, 3.36%, corresponding to $[\text{CF}_3]^+$ and $[{}^{69}\text{Ga}]^+$. Mass spectra recorded at 20 eV gave a similar fragmentation pattern. Peaks of highest intensity were in all cases 81 m/e, 100%, $[\text{C}_2\text{F}_3]^+$ and 50 m/e, ~ 70%, $[\text{CF}_2]^+$. Additional signals with masses between 70 and 45 m/e are fragments of dmf.

Vibrational spectra of the $Tl(CF_3)_3$ -complexes

 $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf.$ Raman: 203 vs, 223 m, 408 w, 516 w, 675 w, 709 s, 867 m, 872 w, 1017 vw, 1065 vw, 1114 m, 1144 s, 1335 vw, 1390 vw, 1426 s, 1444 m, 1508 vw, 1661 w, 2956 m. IR (Nujol): 409 w, 708 w, 867 vw, 1070 vs,br, 1102 vs, 1143 s, 1258 m, 1422 m, 1442 s, 1498 w, 1654 vs, 2940 w.

^{*} A reference number with an asterisk indicates a note in the list of references.

Cd(CF ₁), D	D	MX,	Solvent	reaction temp.	reaction	reaction products ^a
(mmol)		(mmol)	(ml)		time	(yield)
1.50	glyme	GaCl ₃ (1.00)	CH ₃ CN (6)	–15°C → r.t.	Id	Ga(CF,) ₂ CI-2CH ₃ CN, Ga(CF ₃) ₃ ·2CH ₃ CN, Ga(CF,)Cl.,2CH,CN,
8 Y S	dialume	GaCl. (4.54)	CH-C1- (10)	11 ↑ J 0°C ↓ 11	2d	[Cd(CH ₃ CN) ₂][Ga(CF ₃) ₄] ₂ ^b Ga(CF ₃) ₂ CI · dmf
000		(com) fromo	+ dmf (0.35)			(39%)
22.20	2CH ₃ CN	GaCl ₃ (8.08)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (25) + dmf (0.63)	r.t. → - 30°C → r.t.	4d	Ga(CF ₃) ₃ · dmf (42%)
2.30	diglyme	GaCl ₃ (1.48)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (2) + dmeu ^c (0.15)	$r.t. \rightarrow -30^{\circ}C \rightarrow r.t.$	2d	Ga(CF ₃) ₂ Cl · dmeu
6.02	2CH ₃ CN	GaCl ₃ (1.20)	CH ₃ CN (10)	r.t.	7d	[Cd(CH ₃ CN) ₂][Ga(CF ₃) ₄] ₂ (49%)
15.00	2CH3CN	InCl ₃ (10.00)	CH3CN (20)	r.t.	ld	In(CF ₃) ₃ .2CH ₃ CN ^d (36%)
10.00	2CH ₃ CN	InCl ₃ (10.00)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (20) + dmf (0.78)	reflux	3d	In(CF ₃) ₂ Cl·dmf ^e (32%)
34.30	diglyme	TICI ₃ (19.90)	dmf (68)	r.t. → 40 ° C	2d	TI(CF ₃) ₃ · 2dmf (45 %)
^a The products we -42.54 ppm (Et ₂ ¹ $ln(CF_3)_3$ ·dmf, $ln($ equilibrium $ln(CF_3)$	re identified by ¹⁹ O); -44.25 ppm CF ₃) ₂ CI·dmf, and () ₃ ·dmf + In(CF ₃)	F NMR spectroscopy. (CH ₂ Cl ₂). δ (¹⁹ F) d In(CF ₃)Cl ₂ · dmf in a Cl ₂ · dmf = 21n(CF ₃) ₂ C	^b Similar results were o 45.81 ppm (CH ₂ Cl ₂). <i>A</i> 1 ratio of 1.0:5.5:1.3 w 1.dmf.	bbtained in dmf. ⁶ 1,3-Dime Although the ¹⁹ F NMR spe <i>i</i> e could only isolate In(CF	thyl-2-imidazolidi etrum of the read 3) ₂ CI-dmf; we th	none. ${}^{d} \delta({}^{19}F) - 44.03 \text{ ppm (CH}_3CN)$; tion mixture showed the signals from us assume that there is a distribution

Exchange reactions of Main Group III chlorides with Cd(CF₃)₂ complexes

Table 7

11

ï

the same and sense is a same same same

And the set of the set

Compound	Elemental analysis (%)	
	Ga or In Found (calc.)	Cl or Cd Found (calc.)	
Ga(CF ₃) ₃ ·dmf	19.20 (19.93)	1.30 (0)	
$Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$	21.90 (22.05)	11.89 (11.21)	
$Cd(CH_3CN)_2[Ga(CF_3)_4]_2$	15.55 (15.57)	12.61 (12.68)	
In(CF ₃) ₃ ·2CH ₃ CN	28.58 (28.43)	2.23 (0)	
$In(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot dmf$	32.32 (31.78)	9.50 (9.81)	

Elemental analyses for trifluoromethyl gallium and indium derivatives

Tl(CF₃)₃ · 2dmso. Raman: 206 vs, 227 s, 317 w, 336 w, 410 w, 518 w, 686 s, 708 s, 719 m, 959 w, 1004 w, 1067 vw, 1100 w, 1122 vw, 1145 m, 1422 w, 1425 w, 1438 vw, 2940 m, 3023 m. IR (CsBr): 215 vs, 225 vs, 310 m, 339 s, 402 vs, 513 vw, 680 vw, 708 s, 715 s, 911 w, 952 vs, 1003 vs, 1020–1200 vs,br, 1218 vw, 1306 vw, 1323 vw, 1359 vw, 1389 vw, 1415 w, 1422 m, 1445 w, 1662 w, 1906 vw, 1950 vw, 1980 vw, 2218 vw, 2250 vw, 2937 w, 3022 w.

Tl(CF₃)₃ · 2py. Raman: 209 vs, 226 m, 423 vw, 522 w, 631 vw, 663 w, 717 m, 1018 s, 1048 s, 1080 w, 1102 vw, 1118 vw, 1134 vw, 1147 w, 1169 vw, 1588 w, 1612 w, 3097 m. IR (CsBr): 217 s, 225 s, 417 w, 511 vw, 515 vw, 611 s, 702 vs, 757 vs, 887 vw, 949 vw, 1034 vs, 1052 vs, 1095 vs, 1125 vs, 1139 s, 1157 s, 1222 w, 1229 w, 1237 vw, 1254 vw, 1367 vw, 1391 vw, 1450 m, 1492 vw, 1526 vw, 1602 m, 1624 vw, 1633 vw, 1664 vw, 1813 vw, 1889 vw, 1930 vw, 2018 vw, 2467 vw, 2968 vw, 3089 vw.

Mass spectra of the $Tl(CF_3)_3$ -complexes (only ²⁰⁵Tl containing fragments)

Tl(CF₃)₃ · 2dmf (70 eV, 20 °C, m/e): 416 ([Tl(CF₃)₂ · dmf]⁺, 14.1%); 343 (Tl(CF₃)₂⁺, 16.0%); 278 ([Tl · dmf]⁺, 56.1%); 274 (Tl(CF₃)⁺, 30.5%); 224 (TlF⁺, 1.6%); 205 (Tl⁺, 100%). Other low intensity ions have been omitted. Ions with m/e values between 73 and 42 can be assigned to fragmentation products of dmf, [CF₃]⁺, and [CF₂]⁺.

 $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso$ (70 eV, 20°C, m/e): 567 ([$Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso$]⁺, 0.1%); 421 ([$Tl(CF_3)_2 \cdot dmso$]⁺, 5.6%); 343 ($Tl(CF_3)_2^+$, 2.1%); 283 ([$Tl \cdot dmso$]⁺, 16.4%); 274 ($Tl(CF_3)^+$, 5.4%); 224 (TlF^+ , 0.2%); 205 (Tl^+ , 47.9%). Further peaks between 78 and 44 can be assigned to fragments from dmso, [CF_3]⁺, and [CF_2]⁺.

Tl(CF₃)₃ · 2py (70 eV, 20 °C, m/e): 422 ([Tl(CF₃)₂ · py]⁺, 7.2%); 343 (Tl(CF₃)₂⁺, 3.7%); 284 ([Tl · py]⁺, 14.6%); 274 (Tl(CF₃)⁺, 7.2%); 224 (TlF⁺, 0.5%); 205 (Tl⁺, 66.8%). Further peaks between 79 and 39 can be assigned to pyridine fragments, [CF₃]⁺, and [CF₂]⁺.

Table 9

¹⁹F-chemical shifts for Ga(CF₃)-derivatives after various reaction times (δ (ppm))

	CH ₃ CN/gl	yme	CH ₃ CN/di	CH ₃ CN/diglyme		
	2d	7d	7d	11d	4d	
Ga(CF ₃) ₃ ·D	- 54.60	- 54.60	- 51.84	- 52.31	- 50.95	
$Ga(CF_3)_2Cl \cdot D$	- 57.07	- 56.99	- 53.10	- 53.62	- 53.72	
$Ga(CF_3)Cl_2 \cdot D$	- 59.10	-	- 54.60	_	- 56.14	

Table 8

Table 10 ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in dmso (Fig. 3)

δ (ppm)	Assignment	
- 42.99	In(CF ₃)-compound ^{a}	
- 43.22	In(CF ₃)-compound	
- 44.16	In(CF ₃)-compound	
- 44.76	$S(CF_3)_2^{b}$	
- 45.17	In(CF ₃)-compound	
- 46.46	In(CF ₃)-compound	
-73.17	$SO(CF_3)_2$ or $SO_2(CF_3)_2$ °	

^{*a*} Compounds of the general formula: $In(CF_3)_{3-x-y}(CH_3)_xCl_y$ ($x + y \le 3$, x = 0-2; y = 0-2). ^{*b*} $S(CF_3)_2$: $\delta - 38.64$ ppm [31], $\delta - 45.50$ ppm, ¹ $J(^{19}F^{-13}C)$ 313.7 Hz (CH_2Cl_2) (self-measured sample of authentic material). ^{*c*} $SO(CF_3)_2$: $\delta - 70$ ppm [32], $\delta - 64.5$ ppm [33]; $SO_2(CF_3)_2$: $\delta - 74$ ppm [34].

The reaction of $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN$ with $InCl_3$ in dmso

Whereas the reactions in CH₃CN, dmf, and pyridine proceeded selectively to form $In(CF_3)_{3-x}Cl_x \cdot D$ and $Cd(CF_3)Cl \cdot D$, two by-products were detected in dmso solution. Complexation of $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN$ was observed before it reacted with the indium halide. The change in the absolute value of the coupling constant ${}^2J({}^{111/113}Cd{}^{-19}F)$ indicates that the acetonitrile molecules are replaced by dmso $(Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2dmso: {}^2J({}^{111/113}Cd{}^{-19}F) 369/383$ Hz [23], $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN$: ${}^2J({}^{111/113}Cd{}^{-19}F)$ 446/466 Hz [26]). ${}^{19}F$ NMR data are given in Table 10.

Preparation of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso$

To a solution of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf$ in dmf at 40 °C was added an excess of dmso. The solvent was distilled off in vacuo at ca. 40 °C, and the residue was purified by a repeated recrystallisation from CH₃CN. Further crystallisation from diethyl ether gave colourless crystals of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmso$ in approximately 30% yield (m.p. 104 °C). Analytical data are summarized in Tables 11 and 12.

Preparation of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2py$

Table 11

A solution of $Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf$ in a ten-fold molar excess of pyridine contained in a Schlenk-tube was warmed to 50–60 °C. Pyridine was distilled off from the yellow solution. Repetition of the procedure with warming to 90–100 °C yielded a crude

	¹⁹ F	$^{2}J(^{203/205}\text{Tl}^{-19}\text{F})$ (Hz)	¹ H
	δ (CF ₃) (ppm)		δ (solvent) (ppm)
$Tl(CF_3)_3 \cdot 2dmf^a$	- 36.8	3010/3040	2.83/2.96/8.89
dmf (neat) b			2.81/2.98/7.89
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmso	- 36.05 °	2978/3006	2.67
dmso (neat) b			2.62
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2py	- 35.16	2940/2970	7.44/7.87/8.50
py (neat) d			7.14/7.55/8.51

¹⁹ F	and ¹ H	I NMR	spectrosco	pic data	for T	Π(CF ₁)	-com	olexes
-----------------	--------------------	-------	------------	----------	-------	---------------------	------	--------

^{*a*} In CD₃CN. ^{*b*} Ref. 35. ^{*c*} ${}^{1}J({}^{19}F-{}^{13}C)$ 380.5 Hz, ⁴ $J({}^{19}F-{}^{19}F)$ 4.1 Hz, ¹ $\Delta({}^{19}F-{}^{12}/{}^{13}C)$ 0.1405 ppm. ^{*d*} Ref. 36.

Compound	Elemental analysis (Found (calc.) (%))			Molecular weight (in dmso)
	C	н	N	Found (calc.) (%)
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmf	19.6	2.6	5.2	557
	(19.4)	(2.5)	(5.0)	(558)
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2dmso	15.3	2.1	_	557
	(14.1)	(2.1)	(-)	(568)
Tl(CF ₃) ₃ ·2py	28.2	1.9	4.8	
	(27.4)	(1.8)	(4.9)	

Elemental analysis and results of molecular weight determinations for the Tl(CF₃)-complexes

product with excellent solubility in diethyl ether. Crystallisation at -40 °C gave white crystals decomposing at 86 °C. The solid still contained 3% of Tl(CF₃)₃ · 2dmf. Analytical data are summarized in Tables 11 and 12.

Reactions with thallium triacetate and tris(trifluoroacetate)

The thallium acetates were dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , dmf, or pyridine at room temperature. At the beginning only half of the required $Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot diglyme was$ added, but after the mixtures had been stirred for 24 hours (3 hours in pyridine) the remainder of the cadmium complex was introduced. The stoichiometry was about 1:3 (Tl(OCOR)_3: Cd(CF_3)_2 \cdot diglyme). The species $[Tl(CF_3)_4]^-$ was shown by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy to be the main product: $([Tl(CF_3)_4]^-: \delta (CF_3) - 33.4 \text{ ppm}, {}^2J({}^{205}\text{Tl}_{-}{}^{19}\text{F})$ 2080 Hz (in CH_2Cl_2); $\delta (CF_3) - 33.8 \text{ ppm}, {}^2J({}^{205}\text{Tl}_{-}{}^{19}\text{F})$ 2100 Hz (in dmf)). CH₃COF was formed as a by-product in the reaction with Tl(OCOCH₃)₃ in CH₂Cl₂.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the Minister für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 W. Tyrra, W. Strauß and D. Naumann, (9th European Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry, Leicester (UK) September 4-8, 1989, Abstract I-36) J. Fluorine Chem., 45 (1989) 46.
- 2 G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel (Eds.), Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982, Vol. 1, Ch. 6, 7, and 8.
- 3 (a) R.D. Chambers, H.C. Clark and C.J. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82 (1960) 5298; (b) G. Pawelke, F. Heyder and H. Bürger, J. Organomet. Chem., 178 (1979) 1; (c) H. Bürger, M. Grunwald and G. Pawelke, J. Fluorine Chem., 28 (1985) 183; (d) J. Jander and H. Nagel, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 669 (1963) 1; (e) T.D. Parsons, E.D. Baker, A.B. Burg and G.L. Juvinall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 250; (f) T.D. Parsons, J.M. Self and L.H. Schaad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 3446; (g) H. Bürger, M. Grunwald and G. Pawelke, J. Fluorine Chem., 31 (1986) 89; (h) D.J. Brauer, H. Bürger, G. Pawelke, W. Weuter and J. Wilke, J. Organomet. Chem., 329 (1987) 293; (i) R. Hausser-Wallis, H. Oberhammer, H. Bürger and G. Pawelke, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 1839.
- 4 (a) M. Hauptschein, A.J. Saggiomo and C.S. Stokes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 680; (b) R.S. Dickson and G.D. Sutcliffe, Aust. J. Chem., 25 (1972) 761.
- 5 T.R. Bierschenk, T.J. Juhlke, W.I. Bailey jr. and R.J. Lagow, J. Organomet. Chem., 277 (1984) 1.

Table 12

- 6 M.A. Guerra, T.R. Bierschenk and R.J. Lagow, Rev. Chim. Min., 26 (1986) 701.
- 7 H.K. Nair and J.A. Morrison, Inorg. Chem., 28 (1989) 2816.
- 8 e.g., D. Naumann and W. Tyrra, J. Organomet. Chem., 334 (1987) 323.
- 9 D. Naumann, W. Tyrra and F. Leifeld, J. Organomet. Chem., 333 (1987) 193.
- 10 R.G. Pearson, Inorg. Chem., 27 (1988) 734 and refs. cited therein.
- 11 D. Naumann, K. Glinka and W. Tyrra, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., in press.
- 12 D. Naumann and W. Tyrra, J. Organomet. Chem., 368 (1989) 131.
- 13 W. Dukat and D. Naumann, Rev. Chim. Min., 26 (1986) 589.
- 14 R.W. Harris, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 10 (1963) 309.
- 15 A.B. Burg, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 13 (1977) 199.
- 16 J.A. Morrison, L.L. Gerchman, R. Eujen and R.J. Lagow, J. Fluorine Chem., 10 (1977) 333.
- 17 L.J. Krause and J.A. Morrison, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 2995.
- 18 (a) C.R. Smoot and H.C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 6245; (b) I.A. Sheka, I.S. Chaus and T.T. Mityureva, The Chemistry of Gallium, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1966, p. 205.
- 19 K. Wade and A.J. Banister, in J.C. Bailar, H.J. Emeléus, R. Nyholm and H.F. Trotmann-Dickinson (Eds.), Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1973, Ch. 12, p. 993 ff.
- 20 C. Elschenbroich and A. Salzer, Organometallchemie, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986, p. 98 ff.
- 21 G.E. Coates and J. Graham, J. Chem. Soc., (1963) 233.
- 22 N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw, Chemistry of the Elements, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1986, p. 261 ff.
- 23 G.B. Deacon and J.C. Parrott, J. Organomet. Chem., 22 (1970) 287; Aust. J. Chem., 24 (1971) 1771; Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 7 (1971) 329; Aust. J. Chem., 25 (1972) 1169.
- 24 D. Naumann and W. Tyrra, to be published.
- 25 e.g., R. Usón, A. Laguna and J.A. Abad, J. Organomet. Chem., 194 (1980) 265.
- 26 J.F. Hinton, K.R. Metz and R.W. Briggs, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 20 (1988) 423.
- 27 G. Jander, K.F. Jahr and H. Knoll, Maßanalyse, Sammlung Göschen, W. de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- 28 Komplexometrische Bestimmungsmethoden mit Titriplex, Merck, Darmstadt.
- 29 H. Lange and D. Naumann, J. Fluorine Chem., 26 (1984) 1.
- 30 R.A. Mitsch and J.E. Robertson, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 2 (1965) 152.
- 31 C.H. Dungan and J.R. van Wazer, Compilation of Reported ¹⁹F-NMR Chemical Shifts, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1970.
- 32 D.T. Sauer and J.M. Shreeve, J. Fluorine Chem., 1 (1971) 1.
- 33 E.W. Lawless, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 2796.
- 34 H. Oberhammer, G.D. Knerr and J.M. Shreeve, J. Mol. Struct., 82 (1982) 143.
- 35 C.J. Pouchert, The Aldrich Library of NMR Spectra, ed. II, Vol. 2, Aldrich Chemical Company Inc., Milwaukee, 1983.
- 36 The Sadtler Standard Spectra Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra, Sadtler Research Laboratories, Philadelphia, 1970.